

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspio.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	· ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/774,169	02/05/2004	Anat Bremler Bar	206,443	7298
7590 08/08/2008 ABELMAN, FRAYNE & SCHWAB			EXAMINER	
666 Third Ave., 10th Floor			NGUYEN, THUONG	
New York, NY 10017			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2155	
•		·		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/08/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application Number	Application/Control No.	Applicant(s)/Patent u Reexamination	MN Inder
	10/774,169	BAR ET AL. Art Unit	
	Tina Nguyen	2155	
Document Code - AP.PRE.	DEC		199

Notice of Panel Decision from Pre-Appeal Brief Review

	B B B B B
This is in response to the Pre-Appeal Brief Request for Re	eview filed <u>7/15/2008</u> .
1. Improper Request – The Request is improper reason(s):	and a conference will not be held for the following
 ☐ The Notice of Appeal has not been filed conc ☐ The request does not include reasons why a ☐ A proposed amendment is included with the F ☐ Other: 	review is appropriate.
The time period for filing a response continues to run the mail date of the last Office communication, if no N	
held. The application remains under appeal because is required to submit an appeal brief in accordance w brief will be reset to be one month from mailing this drunning from the receipt of the notice of appeal, which	erferences – A Pre-Appeal Brief conference has been there is at least one actual issue for appeal. Applicant ith 37 CFR 41.37. The time period for filing an appeal ecision, or the balance of the two-month time period never is greater. Further, the time period for filing of the upon the mail date of this decision or the receipt date
☐ The panel has determined the status of the claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1, 4-26, 28-35, 38-60, 62-69, Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	•
3. Allowable application – A conference has bee Allowance will be mailed. Prosecution on the merits reapplicant at this time.	
4. Reopen Prosecution – A conference has been action will be mailed. No further action is required by	
All participants:	
(1) <u>KENNY S. LIN</u> . (2) <u>Tina Nguyen</u> .	(3)/Saleh Najjar/ Supervisory Primary Examiner. (4)